OFFICE OF THE TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR



HALL OF FINANCE & RECORDS
11 CIVIC CENTER PLAZA, SUITE G76
POST OFFICE BOX 4515
SANTA ANA, CA 92701
www.ttc.ocgov.com

March 12, 2009

CHRISS W. STREET TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR

PAUL C. GORMAN, C.P.A., CTP CHIEF ASSISTANT TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR

JENNIFER BURKHART, CFA
ASSISTANT TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR

WALTER DANIELS
ASSISTANT TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR
TAX COLLECTION

ROBIN RUSSELL
ASSISTANT TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR
ADMINISTRATION

TO: Board of Supervisors

Thomas G. Mauk, County Executive Officer

Treasury Oversight Committee Treasurer's Advisory Committee

Participants

FROM: Chriss W. Street

Treasurer-Tax Collector

SUBJECT: Treasurer's Management Report for February 28, 2009

Attached please find the Treasurer's Management Report for the County of Orange for the month ended February 28, 2009. The information provided herein, including all charts, tables, graphs and numerical representations, is provided to readers solely as a general overview of the economic and market conditions which the Treasurer utilizes in making investment decisions. In addition, a complete version of this report is also available for download at our website www.ttc.ocgov.com.

TREASURER'S REPORT

In order to assist you in reading this report, please note that the current balances reflect the investments recorded in the portfolios for each particular fund for the period ending February 28th. Each money market fund has an average maturity of less than sixty days, with a net asset value (NAV) falling within the range of \$0.9950 and \$1.0050. The Extended Fund shall have a duration not to exceed a leading 1-3 Year index +25%. All investments are marked to the market at the end of the reporting period due to the narrow valuation range prescribed by the Pools' Investment Policy Statement.

The reports reflect the par value (face value), the cost and market value (the price of each security at the close of the market on the last trading day of the month). Market values are derived from the Bloomberg Professional Service, a premier provider of instant access to real-time and historical financial data. The difference between the market value and book value is the unrealized gain or (loss). The Detail Transaction Report Section is provided in compliance with California Government Code Section 53607, which requires that the Treasurer file such a report with the Board of Supervisors, from whom his investment authority has been delegated.


APPORTIONMENT OF COMMINGLED POOL INTEREST EARNINGS


We have prepared a forecast for the timing of the County Investment Pool's December 2008, January and February 2009 interest apportionments. The December 2008 and January 2009 interest apportionments were posted to participants' cash accounts in the County general ledger on March 3 and March 4, 2009 respectively. We anticipate posting the February 2009 interest apportionment to participants' cash accounts in the County general ledger by approximately April 28, 2009.

PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION


The following graphs represent the County, School and John Wayne Airport investment pools' composition by issuer type. The County and School pools include their portion of the Extended Fund (Exhibit 1).

Exhibit 1: County





John Wayne Airport



MARKET OBSERVATIONS

Investors are facing an intense debate regarding the future of the economy. Will the combination of the various government stimulus and the natural growth of population, result in a recovery in the second half of this year, or is the economy entering a protracted period of decline and stagnation?

After two years of cheerleading that the recovery would be just around the corner, most analysts have turned incredibly bearish and are now warning of a **DEPRESSION** that may keep interest rates low for many years. Your Orange County Treasurer's office believes that the worst of the **RECESSION** is already in the price of securities. We recently took several million dollars of capital gain profit by selling longer term maturity bonds and reinvesting the proceeds from our portfolios into floating rate investments that out-perform if the economy begins to improve and inflation returns.

The Orange County Treasurer's office was one of the earliest public critics of highly speculative investing. In June of 2007 article in Bloomberg Magazine, "Poison in Your Pension" (attached), we helped expose the extraordinary amount of risk that investors were accepting in securitized "Toxic Waste" bonds. At the time, we were attacked as naive alarmists. Through 2007 and into 2008, we restructured our portfolios from 75% finance related issues to 75% US Government and Agency issues. While others suffered

Treasurer's Monthly Management Report Page 4

brutal losses, we were rewarded with Top Ten (attached) performance versus all government investment funds for the last two years.

The current economic downturn is the result of a bursting of a massive global leverage bubble that inflated every asset over the past fifteen years. This turbo charged wealth creator resulted in massive conspicuous consumption by the US consumer and facilitated a spectacular investment and production boom in Asia. This bubble may have taken many years to reach its bursting point, but the bust will be much faster and deeper.


Governments around the world are pursuing typical Keynesian government spending to offset the decline of consumer spending. Keynesian intervention would be the appropriate response if the economy's real problem was just a "credit crisis". Given that the real problem is too much debt, most of this money will be spent inefficiently.

Our office has developed a strong expertise at taking advantage of marketplace conservative opportunities to profitably extend and contract the maturities of our investments. Our current strategy is to decrease the maturities by investing in floating rate bonds that allow us to achieve increasing yields we see on the horizon.

Interest Rate Forecast

The Treasurer's Investment Committee is currently estimating an average interest yield of 2% for 2008-2009; in addition we are estimating an average interest yield of 1.35% for 2009-2010.

The Treasurer's Office appreciates your continued confidence as well as the opportunity to provide you exemplary portfolio and cash management services in the future. Please call Orange County Treasurer, Chriss W. Street, at 714-834-7625 with any questions.







By David Evans

rities firm, is hawking toxic-waste investments to public pension funds.

At a sales presentation of the bank's CDOs to 50 public pension fund managers in a Las Vegas hotel ballroom, Jean Fleischhacker, Bear Stearns senior managing director, tells fund managers they can get a 20 percent annual return from the riskiest portogram.

ear Stearns Cos., the fifth-largest U.S. secu-

Bear Stearns senior managing director, tells fund managers they can get a 20 percent annual return from the riskiest portion of a collateralized debt obligation. "It has a very high cash yield to it," says Jean Fleischhacker, senior managing director of Bear Stearns at the March convention. "I think a lot of people are confused about what this product is and how it works."

Worldwide sales of CDOs—which are packages of securities backed by bonds, mortgages and other loans—have soared since 2003, reaching \$503 billion last year, a fivefold increase in four years. Bankers call the bottom sections of a CDO, the ones most vulnerable to losses from bad debt, the equity tranches. They also refer to them as toxic waste, because as more borrowers default on loans these investments would be the first to take losses. The investments could be wiped out.

Fleischhacker, 45, doesn't use the words *toxic waste* in describing the equity tranches she's selling. Pension funds in the U.S. have bought these CDO portions in efforts to boost returns. Many pension funds, facing growing numbers of retirees, are still reeling from investments that went sour after technology stocks peaked in March 2000. Fund managers buy equity tranches, which are also called "first loss" portions, even though those investments are never given a credit rating by Fitch Group Inc., Moody's Investor Services Inc. or Standard & Poor's. The California Public Employees' Retirement System, the nation's largest public pension fund, has invested \$140 million in such unrated CDO portions, according to data Calpers provided in response to a public records request. Citigroup Inc., the largest U.S. bank, sold the tranches to Calpers.

"I have trouble understanding public pension funds delving into equity tranches, unless they know something the market doesn't know," says Edward Altman, director of the Fixed Income and Credit Markets program at New York University's Salomon Center for the Study of Financial Institutions.



"That's obviously a very risky play. If there's a meltdown, which I expect, it will hit those tranches first." Calpers spokesman Clark McKinley declined to comment.


Because CDOs contents are such a secretive, fund managers can't easily track the value of the components that go into these bundles. "You need to monitor the collateral in your investment and make sure you're comfortable there will be no defaults," says Satyajit Das, a former Citigroup banker who has written 10 books on debt analysis. Most investors can't do that because it's extremely difficult to track the contents of any CDO or its current value, he says. About half of all CDOs sold in the U.S. in 2006 were loaded with subprime mortgage debt, according to Moody's and Morgan Stanley. Since CDO managers can change the contents of a CDO after it's sold, investors may not know how much subprime risk they face, Das says.

As the \$503 billion-a-year CDO market thrives, CDO marketers like Bear Stearns and Citigroup find buyers for the portions known as toxic waste, the equity tranches. A typical \$500 million CDO requires a \$40 million unrated equity tranche, says Fleischhacker, who addressed the 12th annual Public Funds Summit, a meeting of pension fund managers, at the Loews Lake Las Vegas Resort on March 12.

hriss Street, treasurer of Orange County, California, the fifth-most-populous county in the U.S., says no public fund should invest in equity tranches. He says fund managers are ignoring their fiduciary responsibilities by placing even 1 percent of pension assets into the riskiest portions of a CDO. "It's grossly inappropriate to take this level of risk," he says. "Fund managers wanted the high yield, so Wall Street

Buyers of CDOs

Pension funds are among the buyers of CDOs, including the riskiest first-loss portion, that's also known as "toxic waste."



^{*}Includes endowments, religious organizations and public assets. From 1996 to 2006. Percentages for the equity portion do not add up to 100 due to rounding. Source: Bear Stearns



Orange County's **Chriss Street** says pensions take too much risk.

sold it to them. The beauty of Wall Street is they put lipstick on a pig."

Seven percent of all the equity tranches sold in the U.S. in the past decade were purchased by pension funds, endowments and religious organizations, Fleischhacker says.

Public pension funds have bought more than \$500 million in CDO equity tranches in the past five years, according to data from public records requests. The New Mexico State Investment Council, which funds education and government services for children, has \$222.5 million invested in equity tranches. The council decided in April to buy an additional \$300 million of them. That investment would be 2 percent of the \$15 billion it manages. The General Retirement System of Detroit holds three equity tranches it bought for \$38.8 million. The Teachers Retirement System of Texas owns \$62.8 million of them. Missouri's State Employees' Retirement System owns a \$25 million equity tranche. Ronald Zajac, spokesman for the Detroit pension fund, declined to comment on the fund's equity tranche investments.

Kay Chippeaux, fixed income portfolio manager of the New Mexico council, says the council decided to buy equity tranches after listening to pitches from Merrill Lynch & Co., Wachovia Corp. and Bear Stearns. "We got very interested in them just because a broker brought them to our attention," Chippeaux, 50, says. She says the investment is worth the risk because the fund may be able to get higher returns than it can from bonds. The council has purchased equity tranches from Morgan Stanley, Bear Stearns, Citigroup and Merrill Lvnch.

The council is relying on expertise from bankers selling the CDOs, Chippeaux says. "We manage risk through who we invest with," she says. "I don't have a lot of control over individual pieces of the subprime."

As of March 31, the Texas pension fund's CDO investments have returned a total of 6.1 per-

cent since December 2005, spokeswoman Juliana Fernandez Helton says. They include the fund's \$62.8 million in equity tranches, which were purchased from Credit Suisse Group, Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Citigroup and other banks. The Texas group also bought \$10.1 million in investment-grade tranches from Merrill Lynch and RBS Greenwich Capital Markets Inc., a unit of Royal Bank of Scotland Group Plc.

The Texas fund managers won't put more than 1 percent of the fund's assets into CDO investments, Helton says. They review CDO managers' capabilities and the design of an individual CDO before making a purchase, she says.

Last September, the Missouri State Employees' Retirement System bought half of the equity tranche of the BlackRock Senior Income Series 2006 collateralized loan obligation, managed by New York-based BlackRock Inc. A CLO is a CDO that invests exclusively in loans, not bonds. The Missouri retirement system invested \$25 million of its \$7.7 billion fund. Jim Mullen, fixed-income director of the fund, says he thinks the investment will pay off because he got into that market

'The beauty of Wall Street is they put lipstick on a pig,' a county treasurer says.



'Common misperception'

A Bear Stearns executive said credit rating firms oversee CDOs the way federal regulators monitor banks. The ratings companies disagreed.



before most others did. "We tend to be ahead of the curve," he says. The investment didn't require board approval, Mullen, 60, says. He says he relied on the fund's 12-year relationship with BlackRock.

Das says banks have good intentions when they create a CDO, wha they lack is control of the performance of subprime loans and other bad debt. "To just rely on somebody's reputation is absolving your own fiduciary responsibility as a manager," he says. Helton declined to comment in response to Das. New Mexico's Charles Wollman says his fund evaluates the performance of each CDO at least once a month.

Citigroup spokesman Stephen Cohen says public funds pick CDOs based on their management. "The evaluation centers on the track record and expertise of the manager," he says. Fleischhacker says Bear Stearns provides a prospectus on all CDO transactions, including terms, structure and risk. Credit Suisse, Goldman Sachs, Merrill Lynch, Morgan Stanley, RBS Greenwich and Wachovia declined to comment.

Orange County's Street says he sees similarities between that county's 1994 bankruptcy, which was the largest municipal bankruptcy in U.S. history, and investments by pension funds in equity tranches. In the 18 months before the collapse, Street, 56, who ran financial advisory firm Chriss Street & Co., alerted the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or OCC, that the county faced a financial disaster. The manager of Orange County Fund, which includes pensions, had borrowed more than \$12 billion speculating that short-term interest rates would remain low. "The county was earning 8 percent in what was a 31/2 percent world," Street recalls telling federal regulators. Those returns ended when rates rose in 1994. Street's warnings went unheeded. Orange County's investment losses totaled \$1.69 billion.

Street says the big risks taken by pension funds managers to juice up their investment performance with CDO equity tranches could result in big losses. Those tranches are filled with risky debt, which is sometimes in the form of subprime

mortgages, he says. "Very few pension plans could meet their fiduciary duty by buying portfolios of subprime loans," he says. "They spiked up the yield, but that yield means nothing when the defaults start to mount, as we know they will. The funds will take big losses."

Foreclosure filings in the U.S. jumped to 147,708 in April, up 62 percent from a year earlier, as subprime borrowers stopped making mortgage payments, according to data released by research company RealtyTrac Inc. on May 15. As foreclosures rise, the subprime-mortgage backed securities in CDOs begin to crumble.

At its sales presentation at the pension conference in Las Vegas, Bear Stearns has set up a booth stacked with literature about CDOs, including a 14-page primer titled *Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDOs): An Introduction*. Fleischhacker

stands in front of the display of brochures after she speaks. "They should be looking at these types of asset classes," she says. "They're eager to learn. We're doing lots of education."

Fleischhacker tells the public pension managers that a CDO is like a financial institution: Both have strict oversight and outside regulators, she says. "The outside agencies that oversee these structures are the rating agencies," she says, comparing them to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the OCC, which regulates banks. (For more on credit rating companies and CDOs, see "The Ratings Charade," page TK.)

Fleischhacker's comparison is disputed by Gloria Aviotti, Fitch's group managing director of global structured finance, which includes CDOs. "It's not accurate," she says. "We're not regulating anything. We're providing an opinion."

Yuri Yoshizawa, group managing director of structured finance at Moody's, says people often think of credit raters as investor advocates or oversight groups. "It's a common misperception," he says. "All we're providing is a credit assessment and comments."

arrell Duffie, a professor of finance at the Stanford Graduate School of Business in Standford, California, says he's worried about public pension trustees getting their CDO education from the banks selling the investment. "Either they need to be very sophisticated themselves, or they have to know that they're getting into something that could be quite risky," he says. Pension fund managers should get advice from independent financial consultants, Duffie says.

Some public fund investors are forbidden from buying junk-rated or unrated portions of CDOs. Wall Street has come up with a way to sell dressed-up CDO toxic waste so that it qualifies as investment grade. One is called principal protection. Bear Stearns offered this hypothetical example at its Las Vegas presentation: A pension fund wants to buy \$100 of CDO equity. Instead of buying it directly, the fund buys a zero coupon government bond for \$46 that will be redeemed for \$100 in 12 years. That bond is paired with a \$54 investment in CDO equity. Zero coupon bonds pay no interest; the investor is paid the full face amount—that's \$100 in this hypothetical situation—when the bond matures.

"Principal protection is guaranteed," Fleischhacker says. "It's AAA, since you're buying U.S.

Treasury." If there are no defaults, this method of investing in CDO equity would return 9.3 percent annually, she says.

The presence of the zero coupon bond ensures that the pension fund will recover its \$100 investment even if the equity tranche becomes worthless. While the fund wouldn't lose any money if that happened, there would be no return on the investment for 12 years.

If a fund manager puts all of the same hypothetical \$100 into zero coupon bonds only, it would more than double its money in 12 years, Das says. "I would have thought with pension fund money, they don't really want to lose principal," Das says about this equity tranche sales technique. "And clearly here the principal is very much at risk. You've got a highly leveraged bet on no defaults, or very minimal defaults."

Chippeaux says she concluded the principalprotection plan was good for her fund in New Mexico at a time when the state required that public funds buy only investment-grade debt.



Frank Partnoy says CDOs are too secretive.

'They're getting into something that could be quite risky,' one professor says of pensions.



"Smoke and mirrors" in some sense understates the problem,' says a former debttrader.

Chippeaux says she knows there's subprime loans in the New Mexico fund's CDO investments. New Mexico's Wollman, public information officer at the New Mexico fund, savs he's confident the state doesn't hold many of the poorest-performing subprime loans that were made at the height of the real estate boom in 2006. "One of the things that's going to be helpful to us is that we don't have a lot of exposure to 2006 subprime loans," he says. "I think that is going to help us deflect any exposure should subprime collapse."

Pension fund managers face the same hurdle as all CDO investors: the market has almost no transparency, with both current prices and contents of CDOs almost impossible to find, says



Satyajit Das says banks can't control subprime defaults.

Frank Partnoy, a former debt trader who's now a law professor at the University of San Diego. The murky nature of the CDO market presents danger for the unwary investor, and it's particularly unsuitable for public pension money, Partnoy says. "I think 'smoke and mirrors' in some sense understates the problem," he says. "You can see through smoke. You can see something reflected in a mirror. But when you look at the CDO market. you really can't see enough information to enable you to make a rational investment deci-

sion." That hasn't stopped pension funds from taking high risks with the retirement plans of teachers, firefighters and police.

 ${\bf DAVID\ EVANS\ is\ a\ senior\ writer\ at\ Bloomberg\ News\ in\ Los\ Angeles.}$ ${\bf davidevans@bloomberg.net}$

BLOOMBERG TOOLS

Tracking Underlying Loan Performance

You can use the Delinquency Report (DQRP) function to display a list of structured finance deals ranked by their collateral performance. For data on delinquencies and foreclosures on subprime loans in specific

residential-mortgage-backed securities, first type DQRP <Go>. Click on the arrow to the right of Sector and select Residential. Click on the second arrow to the right of Sector and select Res B/C to display securities in which the majority of loans are classified as subprime or B- or C-rated, as shown below. The 60D+ column shows the percentage of collateral-

backed loans for which borrowers are 60 days or more behind in payments, already in default or owned by the lender. Click on a deal to see additional details for that security using the Collateral Performance (CLP) function. For a calendar of new and recently issued CDOs, type MCAL <Go> to use the Structured Finance New Issue Calendar function. Type 7 <Go> for the CDO New Issue Calendar. For a guide to the collateral codes, type CDO <Go> 4 <Go>. To access a menu of CDO issuers, type CDO <Go> 1 <Go>. To see a list of an issuer's deals, type its ticker followed by <Mtge> <Go>. For headlines of Bloomberg News stories on CDOs, including daily CDO Alert stories for Europe and the U.S. that list the biggest planned sales of CDOs, type NI CDO BN <Go>. JON ASMUNDSSON

Sector Readersal	Ticker		Definquency Report 2300 resorts displayed of 6700						
Dest	Reg. Date	000ay	GDDay	30Day	Forect.	REO.	1	WALTV	FICO
Weighted Averages		208	0.87	128	2.08	8.80	⊞ G 4	72.35	697
SASC 2002-NP1	046007	0.00							
CSFB 20014	04/2007	0.00						94.28	
MBA 2006-8D1	04/2007	5:50							
ACE 2006-SD1	04(2007	5.40							
SASC 2004-NP2	04/2007	9.88							

ORANGE COUNTY TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

March 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009

					AVERAGE		
PERIOD ENDING - MONTH / YEAR	MONTH END		EARNINGS FOR		YIELD FOR	MONTH END	
	M	IARKET VALUE		MONTH	MONTH	WAM	
Current Month - February 2009							
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,169,443,772	\$	1,630,117	1.00%	53	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,576,700,518	\$	1,540,126	1.17%	59	
Extended Fund	\$	2,350,452,260	\$	5,828,257	3.12%	387	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	52,200,929	\$	-	N/A	122	
January 2009							
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,014,879,118	\$	1,827,150	0.99%	50	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,856,648,856	\$	1,820,578	1.13%	53	
Extended Fund	\$	2,533,665,548	\$	7,079,923	3.32%	281	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	52,200,929	\$	-	N/A	150	
December 2008	Φ.	0.000.407.400	Φ.	0.007.707	4 770/	50	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,308,197,426	\$	3,627,727	1.77%	58	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,152,827,732	\$	2,244,826	1.46%	55	
Extended Fund	\$	2,503,173,696	\$	7,054,362	3.32%	325	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	52,200,929	\$	-	N/A	25	
November 2008	r.	4 000 000 007	ው	2 242 472	0.400/	20	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,923,820,987	\$	3,212,472	2.18%	30	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,591,357,027	\$	2,695,568	2.01%	48	
Extended Fund	\$	2,337,562,301	\$	6,880,107	3.45%	349	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	53,690,396	\$	-	N/A	56	
October 2008	Φ.	4 000 004 007	Φ.	0.000.000	0.550/	00	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,693,321,937	\$	3,606,898	2.55%	36	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,716,217,568	\$	3,457,222	2.36%	43	
Extended Fund	\$	2,491,877,137	\$	6,881,334	3.30%	345	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	53,690,395	\$	-	N/A	86	
September 2008	r.	4 000 000 004	æ	2 722 045	0.040/	F4	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,633,383,931	\$	3,733,815	2.64% 2.52%	51 52	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund		1,707,114,565	\$	3,660,952			
Extended Fund	\$	2,333,839,343	\$ \$	3,483,307	1.84%	393	
OC Extended Fund B August 2008	Φ	69,117,440	Φ	-	N/A	117	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,735,397,363	\$	3,733,249	2.51%	47	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,839,799,053	\$	3,658,783	2.31%	45	
Extended Fund	\$	2,182,413,404	\$	6,883,249	3.74%	477	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	69,117,440	\$	0,003,249	3.74 / ₀ N/A	147	
July 2008	Ψ	03,117,440	Ψ	_	IN/A	147	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,787,284,123	\$	3,899,411	2.41%	50	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,797,691,062	\$	3,698,983	2.38%	50	
Extended Fund	\$	2,104,561,539	\$	7,010,329	3.73%	481	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	69,117,440	\$	- 1,010,020	N/A	178	
June 2008	Ψ	00,117,440	Ψ		14// (170	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,922,691,656	\$	4,090,847	2.44%	33	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,707,534,727	\$	4,119,816	2.46%	33	
Extended Fund	\$	2,194,238,720	\$	7,014,532	3.92%	503	
OC Extended Fund B	\$	69,117,440	\$	- ,011,002	N/A	209	
May 2008	Ψ	30,111,110	Ψ		14/74	200	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,052,055,391	\$	4,328,189	2.42%	29	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,208,622,434	\$	4,646,915	2.45%	35	
Extended Fund	\$	2,188,235,769	\$	7,248,040	3.81%	456	
April 2008	<u> </u>	2,:00,200,:00	Ψ	1 ,= 10,0 10	0.0.70	.00	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,313,762,854	\$	5,648,143	2.66%	33	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,328,134,710	\$	4,593,731	2.62%	42	
Extended Fund	\$	2,281,021,020	\$	7,592,402	4.15%	466	
March 2008		, , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,			
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	2,015,431,220	\$	3,791,696	2.34%	23	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,952,925,095	\$	5,148,299	3.03%	42	
Extended Fund	\$	2,298,149,876	\$	11,180,561	5.77%	465	
March 1, 2008 - February 28, 2009		Annual Average		otal for Year	Annual	Annual	
• • •	A		'	otal IOI Teal	Average	Average	
County Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,964,139,148	\$	43,129,713	2.16%	41	
Educational Pool - Money Market Fund	\$	1,869,631,112	\$	41,285,799	2.17%	46	
Extended Fund	\$	2,361,636,996	\$	84,136,402	3.62%	411	